

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF
TWO HUNDRED NINETY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR
NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO
DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT LEHMAN BROTHERS
HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL, JORDAN BRYK, AT 212-310-8034.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON TWO HUNDRED NINETY-FIFTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 14, 2012, Lehman Brothers Holdings
Inc. ("LBHI" and the "Plan Administrator"), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third
Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for
the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, filed its two hundred ninety-fifth omnibus

objection to claims (the “Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **June 28, 2012 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Jacqueline Marcus, Esq. and Jordan Bryk, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **June 14, at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the “Response Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus
Jacqueline Marcus

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Jacqueline Marcus

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
: :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (JMP)
: :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**TWO HUNDRED NINETY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF
TWO HUNDRED NINETY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR
NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO
DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT LEHMAN BROTHERS
HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL, JORDAN BRYK, AT 212-310-8034.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”) for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “Chapter 11 Estates”), respectfully represents:

Relief Requested

1. The Plan Administrator files this two hundred ninety-fifth omnibus objection to claims (the “Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The Plan Administrator has examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A and has determined that the proofs of claim listed under the heading “*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*” (collectively, the “Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims”) are substantively duplicative, in whole or in part, of the corresponding global claims identified under the heading “*Surviving Claims*” (the “Indenture Trustee Claims”). The Indenture Trustee Claims are proofs of claim asserting general unsecured claims filed by (i) the Wilmington Trust Company (“Wilmington Trust”), in its capacity as indenture trustee, on behalf of itself and the holders of certain notes (the “Senior Notes”) issued pursuant to the Wilmington Indenture (as defined below) and (ii) the Bank of New York Mellon (the “Bank of New York”), in its capacity

as indenture trustee, on behalf of itself and the holders of certain notes (the “Notes”) issued pursuant to the Bank of New York Indenture (as defined below). To the extent that the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims relate to the Senior Notes or the Notes, the Plan Administrator seeks the disallowance and expungement from the Court’s claims register of the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims and preservation of the Plan Administrator’s right to later object to the Indenture Trustee Claims on any basis.

3. This Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims does not affect the Indenture Trustee Claims and does not constitute any admission or finding with respect to the Indenture Trustee Claims. Further, the Plan Administrator reserves its right to object on any basis to any Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008, and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

6. On July 2, 2009, the Court entered its Order Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) Establishing the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approving the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approving the Proof of Claim Form (the “Bar Date Order”) [Docket No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order specifically

provides for the indenture trustees of certain securities, such as Wilmington Trust, to file a global proof of claim on behalf of the individual holders of those securities. (*See* Bar Date Order at 3-4.)

7. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Chapter 11 Estates, among other things, to file omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

8. On December 6, 2011, the Court approved and entered an order confirming the Plan. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

The Indenture Trustee Claims

9. LBHI (formerly known as Shearson Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.) and Wilmington Trust, as successor trustee to Citibank, N.A., entered into an indenture on September 1, 1987 (the “Wilmington Indenture”). The terms of the Wilmington Indenture authorize the indenture trustee to file a proof of claim on behalf of all holders of securities issued under the Wilmington Indenture. (*See* Wilmington Indenture attached to Claim No. 10082 as Exhibit F.)

10. On September 2, 2009, Wilmington Trust filed a proof of claim (Claim No. 10082) on behalf of itself and the holders of the Senior Notes issued under the Wilmington Indenture.

11. LBHI and Bank of New York, as successor trustee to Chemical Bank, entered into an indenture on February 1, 1996 (the “Bank of New York Indenture”). The terms of the Bank of New York Indenture authorize the indenture trustee to file a proof of claim on

behalf of all holders of securities issued under the Bank of New York Indenture. (*See* Bank of New York Indenture attached to Claim No. 22122 as Ex. A.)

12. On September 21, 2009, the Bank of New York filed proofs of claim (Claim Nos. 21797, 21798, 21799, 21800, 21801, 21802, 21803, 21805, 22122, and 22123) on behalf of itself and the holders of the Notes issued under the Bank of New York Indenture.

The Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged

13. In its review of the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Plan Administrator has identified the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims on Exhibit A as substantively duplicative of the Indenture Trustee Claims. Each Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim was filed by an individual claimant/noteholder and asserts a general unsecured, secured, administrative expense, and/or priority claim relating, in whole or in part, to the Senior Notes or the Notes. The Indenture Trustee Claims are general unsecured claims filed by Wilmington Trust and the Bank of New York as indenture trustees, on behalf of the individual claimants/noteholders on account of the securities issued under the Wilmington Indenture or the Bank of New York Indenture. Thus, each Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim seeks to recover, in whole or in part, for the same alleged obligation and on behalf of the same individuals as the Indenture Trustee Claims.

14. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

15. Courts in the Southern District of New York routinely disallow and expunge duplicative claims filed against the same debtor. *See, e.g., In re Worldcom, Inc.*, Case No. 02-13533 (AJG), 2005 WL 3875191, at *8 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. June 3, 2005) (expunging duplicate claim); *In re Best Payphones, Inc.*, Case No. 01-15472, 2002 WL 31767796, at *4, 11 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Dec. 11, 2002) (expunging duplicate claim); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 148 B.R. 993, 1001-02 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (dismissing duplicate claim).

16. The Chapter 11 Estates cannot be required to pay on the same claim more than once. *See, e.g., In re Finley, Kumble, Wagner, Heine, Underberg, Manley, Myerson, & Casey*, 160 B.R. 882, 894 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993) (“In bankruptcy, multiple recoveries for an identical injury are generally disallowed.”). Elimination of redundant claims will also enable the Plan Administrator to maintain a claims register that more accurately reflects the proper claims existing against LBHI.

17. The Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims should be disallowed as substantively duplicative, in whole or in part, of the Indenture Trustee Claims. Wilmington Trust and/or the Bank of New York were the proper parties to file claims on behalf of the individual claimants/noteholders. The terms of the Wilmington Indenture and the Bank of New York Indenture provide for the respective trustee filing a proof of claim on behalf of all holders of the securities issued under the Wilmington Indenture and the Bank of New York Indenture. (*See* Wilmington Indenture § 504, Bank of New York Indenture § 504.) Moreover, the Bar Date Order specifically states that any holder of a security listed on the Master List of Securities, which includes the Senior Notes and the Notes, need not file a proof of claim “due to the fact that the indenture trustee for such securities will file a global proof of claim on behalf of all holders of securities issued thereunder; (Wilmington Trust Company... and the indenture trustee for each

of the other securities included on the Master List of Securities, each will file a global proof(s) of claim on behalf of all holders of securities for which it is identified as Indenture Trustee on the Master List of Securities). . . .” (See Bar Date Order at 3-4.) Finally, the Bankruptcy Rules provide that “[a]n indenture trustee may file a claim on behalf of all known or unknown holders of securities issued pursuant to the trust instrument under which it is the trustee.” See Fed. R. Bank. P. 3003(c)(5).

18. Accordingly, to avoid the possibility of a creditor receiving duplicative or multiple recoveries on its claim, the Plan Administrator requests that the Court disallow and expunge the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims listed on Exhibit A to the extent set forth therein. The Indenture Trustee Claims will remain on the claims register subject to the Plan Administrator’s right to file further objections thereto on any basis.

Notice

19. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. Notice of the Objection has been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) each claimant listed on Exhibit A; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases, ECF No. 9635. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

20. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator or LBHI to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: May 14, 2012
New York, New York

/s/ Jacqueline Marcus
Jacqueline Marcus

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of its Affiliates

Exhibit A

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 295: EXHIBIT A - DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS

CLAIMS TO BE DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED

SURVIVING CLAIMS

	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON
1	CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DARLING FOUNDATION OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 400 STEWART AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NV 89101	04/20/2012	08-13555 (JMP)	68096	\$254,500.00	WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE ATTN: JULIE J. BECKER 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 1290, DROP CODE: 1700/MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1544	09/02/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	10082	\$48,783,940,671.27	Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim
2	CITY OF LAS VEGAS, DARLING FOUNDATION OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 400 STEWART, 9TH FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NV 89101	04/20/2012	08-13555 (JMP)	68098	\$254,500.00	WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE ATTN: JULIE J. BECKER 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 1290, DROP CODE: 1700/MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1544	09/02/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	10082	\$48,783,940,671.27	Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 295: EXHIBIT A - DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS

CLAIMS TO BE DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED

SURVIVING CLAIMS

	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON
3	DUPREE, WILLIAM T. 10969 EIGHT BELLS LANE COLUMBIA, MD 21044	04/26/2012	08-13555 (JMP)	68100	\$20,004.08	WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE ATTN: JULIE J. BECKER 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 1290, DROP CODE: 1700/MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1544	09/02/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	10082	\$48,783,940,671.27	Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 295: EXHIBIT A - DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS

CLAIMS TO BE DISALLOWED AND EXPUNGED

SURVIVING CLAIMS

	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	NAME	DATE FILED	CASE NUMBER	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON
4	TOKIO MARINE & NICHIDO FIRE INS. CO, LTD ATTN: STRUCTURED CREDIT INVESTMENT GROUP NEW FINANCIAL MARKETS, WEST 14TH FLOOR, OTEMACHI 1ST SQ, 5-1 OTEMACHI CHIYODA-KU TOKYO, 100-0004 JAPAN	01/20/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	1782	\$5,023,529.24	WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE ATTN: JULIE J. BECKER 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 1290, DROP CODE: 1700/MINNESOTA MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1544	09/02/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	10082	\$48,783,940,671.27	Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim
						BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, THE, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE FOR CUSIP 524908R36 ATTN: JOHN GUILIANO 101 BARCLAY STREET, 8 WEST NEW YORK, NY 10286	09/21/2009	08-13555 (JMP)	21800	\$1,933,352,666.67	
TOTAL					\$5,552,533.32						

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
 :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (JMP)**
 :
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
-----X

**ORDER GRANTING THE TWO HUNDRED
NINETY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO
CLAIMS (DUPLICATIVE OF INDENTURE TRUSTEE CLAIMS)**

Upon the two hundred ninety-fifth omnibus objection to claims, dated May 14, 2012 (the “Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), in accordance with section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 6664], seeking disallowance and expungement of the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims on the grounds that such claims are substantively duplicative of the corresponding Indenture Trustee Claims, as more fully described in the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

Objection to Claims; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010, governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [Docket No. 9635]; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief requested in the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of LBHI, its estate, its creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto under the heading “*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*” (collectively, the “Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claims”) are disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that the claim listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto under the heading “*Surviving Claim*” (the “Indenture Trustee Claims”) will remain on the claims register subject to the Plan Administrator’s rights to further object as set forth herein; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order or disallowance and expungement of the Duplicative of Indenture Trustee Claim constitutes any admission or finding with respect to the Indenture Trustee Claims, and the Plan Administrator’s rights to object to the Indenture Trustee Claims on any basis is preserved; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to, (i) any claim listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Two Hundred Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims under the heading “*Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged*” that is not listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto, and (ii) the Indenture Trustee Claims; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2012
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE